

From: **Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP)**  
Sent: 12/3/2018 12:03:25 PM  
To: **Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP)**  
CC:  
Subject: Re: EPA Meeting

Thanks, **Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP)**

Sent from my iPhone

On Dec 3, 2018, at 7:00 AM, **Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP)** wrote:

I am having a meeting with **Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP)** tomorrow at 9 am. I will determine tomorrow who will be involved.

Sent from my iPhone

On Dec 3, 2018, at 6:57 AM, **Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP)** wrote:

**Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP)**, this is about the hair on fire LVE that I emailed you about last week. They really want a meeting next week, Dec. 12. Is this possible? If **Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP)** can't attend, can someone else fill in for him?

Sent from my iPhone

Begin forwarded message:

**From:** Mark Scoville <[ms.srstsc@gmail.com](mailto:ms.srstsc@gmail.com)>  
**Date:** December 2, 2018 at 12:25:24 PM EST  
**To:**  
**Cc:** **Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP)**  
**Subject:** EPA Meeting

Good morning (assuming you read this Monday morning). I talked with **Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP)** last Friday (11-30) and she will be out of the office Monday (12-3) and will return Tuesday. She has a meeting with the RAD Branch Chief at 9 AM on Tuesday. She said she would get back to me afterwards about the date and time of the meeting I requested on behalf of the LVE submitter to discuss with EPA, the review status of the 2 outstanding LVEs, the Local Lymph Node Assay (LLNA) performed with the final product LVE substance, the results of which indicate that the substance is not a sensitizer, and several other "going forward" issues that the LVE submitter would like to discuss with EPA.

I believe **Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP)** intends to talk to the RAD Branch Chief regarding the need to have **Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP)** the RAD scientist who has worked on the LVEs from the beginning, at the meeting. He is currently out of the office **Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP)** and the date on which

he'll [Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP)] return to work is currently not known. Most recently, [Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP)] has been working to determine once and for all, whether a NIOSH-approved respirator with an APF of 50 will be required for persons that might be exposed to the final product LVE substance to address sensitization concerns raised by EPA based on the receipt of another LVE for an analog substance that contained a sensitization study indicating that the analog substance is a weak sensitizer.

Prior to the LVE submitter obtaining the LLNA results indicating that the final product LVE substance is not a sensitizer, we agree that [Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP)]'s attendance at the meeting would have been important and necessary. However, in light of the LLNA results, we believe this is no longer the case and that it would be acceptable to have another RAD staff member attend in his absence. Therefore, we would like to respectfully request that you confirm to us that we will be able to meet with EPA at 10 AM on Wednesday, December 12. As that date approaches, and there remains uncertainty about the meeting date and time, airfares become much more expensive and lodging accommodations become more difficult to set up due to the approaching holidays. Please let me know as soon as possible on Monday whether EPA will be able to meet with the LVE submitter on December 12 at 10 AM. Thanks in advance for your efforts in responding to this request. If you have any questions related to this request please feel free to contact me. All the best, Mark.